INDIANA COMMISSION ON PROPRIETARY EDUCATION

Board of Commissioners Meeting Memorandum

Date: March 14, 2007

From: Kyle Robertson, Accreditation Coordinator

Subject: ConTech Institute - Evaluation Report

Staff recommendation

In accordance with Title 570 IAC (D), the commission recommends that the WMTA School of Massage be awarded Fully Accredited status.

Background

ConTech Institute is a Heating/Ventilation/Air Conditioning (HVAC) training school located in Columbus, Indiana. The school was placed on Applicant Status in January of 2006. The facility is conveniently located at 811 Lindsey Street within a few blocks of the central business district in Columbus. Cindi Thomas serves as the school's president. Control and ownership of the school is held by ConTech Institute, Inc., a company charted in the State of Delaware.

School Description

ConTech's HVAC Technical Fundamentals Program is designed to teach students the skills necessary to perform a variety tasks in the heating and cooling industry. Upon finishing the program, students receive a certificate of completion, along with EPA and OHSA certifications. The duration of the training is four weeks. The total cost of the 180 clock hour program is \$8,995.

The program is intended to prepare graduates for entry-level employment. Students learn to effectively communicate HVAC terms and language associated with common problems and solutions found on the job-site. Basic math is incorporated into the training so students will be able to solve problems in the field. Students are taught how to use a variety of tools associated with cutting and fabricating metal. A high emphasis is placed on personal and job safety. During the training, students are taken out into the community on service calls to gain real world experience.

Evaluation Team

Mr. Reeve Porter is the owner of Porter Heating and Cooling, which serves the Central Indiana area. He has been in the HVAC field for 33 years. Mr. Porter previously served as a two term president for the Air Contractors of America of Central Indiana.

Mr. Jeremy Cooper has seven years experience in the HVAC field. He is the owner and operator of JC, "Hot or Not" since 2000. Mr. Cooper received his certification at Ben Davis High School.

Evaluation Results

Mr. Porter recommended Fully Accredited status. His overall impression of the institution was satisfactory. His main concerns were the length of the program and the school's recruiting practices. Mr. Porter suggested that the program be extended to ensure students have more time to grasp basic skills and knowledge. He also suggested that the school disclose the location of the facility when advertising. This was based on student interviews and examples of advertising located in ConTech's application for accreditation.

Mr. Cooper also recommended Fully Accredited status. His overall impression of the school was superior. He was very impressed with Ms. Thomas' commitment and sincerity towards her students and program. Mr. Cooper suggested that the school provide the students with their own tool bag equipped with essential tools for service calls. This is so that students are comfortable using their own tools. He also suggested adding computer programs that simulate problems HVAC employees would encounter in the field. Mr. Cooper has attended computer service seminars that use these types of programs. He described the software as an "awesome" training tool.

Conclusion

The commission sees no evidence to recommend anything other than Fully Accredited status. Students were generally pleased with their training based on interviews. The concerns Mr. Porter commented on regarding the school's recruitment practices have been addressed by commission staff through telephone conversations and other correspondence with Ms. Thomas before the evaluation took place last February.

Supporting Documentation

- 1. Jeremy Cooper's Evaluator Checklist
- 2. Reeve Porter's Evaluator Checklist

INDIANA COMMISSION ON PROPRIETARY EDUCATION

302 W. Washington Street, Room E201 Indianapolis, IN 46204

Date of Evaluation: February	y 5, 2007		
Institution Evaluated: ConTech	n Institute		
Name of Team Member:Jeremy 0	Cooper		
CHECK LIST FOR TEAM EVALUATO	<u>RS</u>		
In each category you are to rate the ins	titution on a scale of	one (1) to four (4) as follo	DWS:
 Outstanding Superior 		Satisfactory Unsatisfactory	
There is space for comments. The aste	erisk (*) denotes <u>rec</u>	quested comments in orde	er to better explain
CATEGORY I EDUCATIONAL OBJ	<u>ECTIVES</u>		
A. The educational philosophies/object	tives are consistent v	with the institution's role a	s a training facility.
	х		
1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
Comments:			
B. The resident training is reasonably vaceks.	well developed to ac	tually train the student for	the job he/she
1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
Comments:			
C. The advertising, brochures, catalogor that it is a training institution inv		areas of instruction it pro	
1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
Comments: Some of the stu Transportation options shoul own convenience.		stunderstood how demand attention of students befor	
CATEGORY II FACULTY			
A. The institution has an adequate nun and/or experience to instruct th		ructors or teachers trained	d by education
	X		
1. Outstanding	2. Superior	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory*

On-Site Evaluation Form evalform.doc

Comments:

B.	 The educational administrators are qualified professionally to administer their position through education and/or experience. 				
		X			
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	Comments:				
C.	The faculty appear to be satisfied v	with the overall institut	ion.		
		v			
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	Comments: I was very imp and program.	ressed with Cindi's co	mmitment and sincerity to	owards her students	
<u>C</u>	ATEGORY III STUDENT POLICY				
A.	Student counseling is adequate to	show concern for the	individual student's perso	onal attainments.	
	x				
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	Comments:				
D	The student/administration relation	chin roflocts a hoalthy	and etable rapport within	the institution	
Ь.	The student/autimistration relation	ship reflects a fleating	and stable rapport within	i the montation.	
			x 3. Satisfactory	4.11 6.6 4 *	
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	Comments:				
C	The student educational needs are	met by the institution			
Ο.	The stadent educational fleeds are	The by the mondation	•		
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	X 3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	_	z. Superior	3. Salistaciony	4. Orisalistaciory	
	Comments:				
<u>C</u>	ATEGORY IV ADMISSION PRACT	<u>ICES</u>			
٨	The admission policy of the institut	ion is well administers	nd and the echool is reason	anably solective	
Λ.	The admission policy of the institut	ion is well administere	a and the solidor is reast	masiy selective.	
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	x 3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	-	z. Superior	3. Sausiaciory	4. Unsalistaciony	
	Comments:				

B. Students who have special learning handicaps are aware of the demands needed to meet the admission requirements.				
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	X 3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	Comments:	2. Superior	o. Galloladioly	1. Ondustation
	Comments.			
<i>C I</i>	ATEGORY V STUDENT RECRUIT	MENIT		
A.	The institution appears to recruit fraction recruiting low income families		of family income. No con	centration on
		X		
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	Comments:			
В.	The institution appears to recruit s	tudents who have a po	tential or desire the educ	cation provided.
	4. Outstanding	x 2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	1. Outstanding	z. Superior	3. Salisfactory	4. Unsalistaciory
	Comments:			
C.	The students appear to have an h	onest impression of the	e institution before they e x 3. Satisfactory	nroll. 4. Unsatisfactory*
	·	z. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Offsatisfactory
<u>C</u>	Comments: ATEGORY VI PHYSICAL FACILIT	<u>IES</u>		
A.	The institution has satisfactory train equipment to instruct in the st			s, supplies, or
			X	
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	Comments:			
B.	The classrooms or work stations a enrolled.	are the necessary size t	to accommodate the num	nber of students
	x 1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
		•	to grow and develop mo	•
	areas, etc.		·	

C.	C. The premises and conditions under which the students work are sanitary and safe according to modern standards.				
		Х			
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	Comments:				
<u>C/</u>	ATEGORY VII COURSE ORGANI	<u>ZATION</u>			
Α.	The instruction materials are com	prehensive, accurate a	nd well organized.		
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	X 3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	Comments:			,	
В.	The instructional material is geare of the students enrolled.	ed at a level of understa	anding which adheres to	the educational level	
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	X 3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	Comments:			,	
<u>C/</u>	ATEGORY VIII OBJECTIVES				
Α.	The resident training is reasonabl ultimately hopes to gain.	y well developed to act	ually train the student for	the job he seeks or	
		x 2. Superior			
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	Comments: Some studen However, heating and coo students were pleased wit	ling is very diverse. I re	re training in cetain areas ealize this would be a ch		
В.	Student records adequately reflect	et the student's progress		rollment.	
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	Comments: .	•	,	,	
	Commonic				
C.	The student records adequately r institution.	eflect the student's plac	cement after his/her train	ing with the	
		x			
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	Comments:				

D. Characterize your impression of the institution.					
	1. Outstanding	x 2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	Comments:				
	ajority of the students appea stitution.	ar to be satisfied with th	e education they have re	eceived from the	
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	Comments: The students criticism I recall was the let program was extended an pressure.	ngth of the program. Si	tudents would feel more	comfortable if the	
			institution should recei		
institution severe de	tus – If, after a review of the and the formal team evalua ficiencies that in the opinion	ition, the petitioning inst n of the Commission are	titution is found to have see deemed to not meet the	uch	
petitioning	standards required for opera institution should be award institution should be recom	ded "No Status," and the	applicant status of the	n the	
institution deficiencie	ate If, after a review of the and the formal team evaluates that in the opinion of the	ition, the petitioning inst Commission can be co	titution is found to have c rrected and would not be	ertain	
for denial "Candidat	of the right to do business, t e" status.	then the petitioning inst	itution may be awarded		
submitted	litation with Recommenda by the petitioning institution o still possess certain deficie	and the formal team e	valuation the petitioning i	nstitution	
accreditat	ion or candidate status, but then the institution may be	such recommendations	s are needed to increase		
evaluation	ccredited - If, after a review the institution has corrected ted with Recommendations	d all deficiencies noted	during its Applicant, Can	ididate, XXX	
	If status Is 1, 2, or 3, lis	t vour specific reason	s or recommendations	below	

Please add any explanatory notes to your recommendation. Use additional page(s) if necessary.

INDIANA COMMISSION ON PROPRIETARY EDUCATION

302 W. Washington Street, Room E201 Indianapolis, IN 46204

Date of Evaluation:	February 5, 2007		
Institution Evaluated:	ConTech Institute		
Name of Team Member:	Reeve Porter		
CHECK LIST FOR TEAM EV	<u>ALUATORS</u>		
In each category you are to ra	te the institution on a scale	e of one (1) to four (4) as fol	lows:
 Outstandi Superior 	ing	3. Satisfactory4. Unsatisfactory	
There is space for comments. your evaluation.	The asterisk (*) denotes	requested comments in or	der to better explain
CATEGORY I EDUCATION	IAL OBJECTIVES		
A. The educational philosoph	ies/objectives are consiste		
1. Outstanding	g 2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
Comments: The pr	ogram is too short for this	type of training.	
B. The resident training is rea seeks.	sonably well developed to	actually train the student fo	or the job he/she
		X	4. Unsatisfactory*
1. Outstanding	g 2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
Comments:			
C. The advertising, brochures			
that it is a training mst	itution involved in the spec	cific areas of instruction it pr	omotes.
1. Outstanding	g 2. Superior	x 3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
Comments:			
CATEGORY II FACULTY			
A. The institution has an adec and/or experience to i		nstructors or teachers traine	ed by education
		x	
1. Outstanding	g 2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*

On-Site Evaluation Form evalform.doc

Comments:

B.	. The educational administrators are qualified professionally to administer their position through education and/or experience.				
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	Comments:	z. Caponoi	o. Calloractory	ii Gricationactory	
	Commond.				
C.	The faculty appear to be satisfied	with the overall institut	ion.		
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	x 3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	Comments:				
<u>CA</u>	ATEGORY III STUDENT POLICY	<u>′</u>			
A.	Student counseling is adequate to	show concern for the	•	onal attainments.	
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	Comments:	·	•	·	
B.	The student/administration relation	nship reflects a healthy x 2. Superior	and stable rapport within 3. Satisfactory	the institution. 4. Unsatisfactory*	
C.	Comments: The student educational needs ar	e met by the institution			
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	-	ds on training is neces	•	,	
<u>CA</u>	ATEGORY IV ADMISSION PRAC	TICES			
A.	The admission policy of the institu	tion is well administere		onably selective.	
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	Comments:			•	

B.	 Students who have special learning handicaps are aware of the demands needed to meet the admission requirements. 				
	1 Outstanding	2 Cupariar	X 3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory"	
	Comments:				
CA	ATEGORY V STUDENT RECRUITI	MENT			
	The institution appears to recruit from	om a diversified level o	of family income. No con-	centration on	
	recruiting low income families.				
			X		
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	Comments:				
R	The institution appears to recruit st	udents who have a no	tential or desire the educ	ation provided	
٥.	The medication appears to regran of	adonto vino navo a po	torniar or doon'd the oddo	ation provided.	
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	X 3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	Comments:	•	,	•	
	Gommonia.				
C.	The students appear to have an ho	onest impression of the	e institution before they e	nroll.	
			X		
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	Comments: No location wa	as mentioned in the ad	S.		
C /	ATECORY VI BUYSICAL EACH IT	Ee			
<u>CF</u>	ATEGORY VI PHYSICAL FACILITI	<u>IES</u>			
A.	The institution has satisfactory train equipment to instruct in the str			, supplies, or	
	equipment to instruct in the str	udeni s selected alea t	or study.		
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	X 3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	•	z. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Orisalistaciory	
	Comments:				
В.	The classrooms or work stations a	re the necessary size t	to accommodate the num	ber of students	
	enrolled.	-			
			x		
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	Comments:				

C.	The premises and conditions under which the students work are sanitary and safe according to modern standards.				
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	Comments: A very clean t	·	or Canoractory	i. Oriodiologicity	
	Comments. A very clean	acinty.			
<u>CA</u>	TEGORY VII COURSE ORGANI	<u>ZATION</u>			
A.	The instruction materials are com	prehensive, accurate a	nd well organized.		
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	x 3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	_	z. Superior	5. Salistaciory	4. Offsatisfactory	
	Comments:				
B.	The instructional material is geare of the students enrolled.	ed at a level of understa	nding which adheres to	the educational level	
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	X 3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	Comments:	oapo	or Canoracion,	Chicanolacion,	
	Comments.				
	TEGORY VIII OBJECTIVES				
A.	The resident training is reasonable ultimately hopes to gain.	y well developed to acti	ually train the student for	the job he seeks or	
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	X 3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	Comments: I feel the proc	gram lacks enough time			
	osimiones Trockato prog	, and a given a			
В.	Student records adequately reflect	at the student's progress	s during his period of enr	rollment.	
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	X 3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	•	2. Caponol	or Canonactory	n eneationationy	
	Comments: .				
C.	The student records adequately reinstitution.	eflect the student's plac	ement after his/her train	ing with the	
		x			
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	Comments:				

D. Characterize your in	mpression of th	ne institution.		
1. Out	standing	2. Superior	x 3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
Comments:	They are work	king to continue to impr	ove.	
E. The majority of the sinstitution.	students appea	ar to be satisfied with th	ne education they have re	eceived from the
			x	
1. Out	standing	2. Superior	X 3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
Comments:				
Pleas	e initial the st	atus you believe this	institution should rece	ive.
			ubmitted by the petitionir	
			titution is found to have s	
			e deemed to not meet the	
			ry proprietary school, the e applicant status of the	n the
petitioning institution sh				
pouttorning moutation of	104.4 20 1000		•	
2. Candidate If, afte	r a review of th	ne forms and materials	submitted by the petition	ing
			titution is found to have o	
			rrected and would not be	cause
"Candidate" status.	do business, ti	then the petitioning inst	itution may be awarded	
Candidate Status.				
3 Accreditation with	Pecommenda	tions — If after a review	w of the forms an materia	ale
			valuation the petitioning	
			erious as to cause either	
			s are needed to increase	
efficiency, then the inst	itution may be	awarded "Accredited w	vith Recommendations" s	status.
			erials and the formal tear	
			during its Applicant, Car	
			granted "Fully Accredite	

If status Is 1, 2, or 3, list your specific reasons or recommendations below. Please add any explanatory notes to your recommendation. Use additional page(s) if necessary.